	/	
4	′	
:4	l.	
v	P.	
	•	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/718,647 Examiner	BRILLON, ALAIN Art Unit
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	William Bangachon	2635
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject	pplication. If not included on will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to 11/24/03.		·
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-16</u> .		
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.	
<ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the: <ol> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	been received. been received in Application No.	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		y complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") muse</li> <li>(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers</li> <li>1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.</li> </ol>	on's Patent Drawing Review(PTC s Amendment / Comment or in the	Office action of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIO	must be submitted. Note the CAL MATERIAL.
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 11/24/03</li> <li>4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>	6. ☐ Interview Summar Paper No./Mail Da 8), 7. ☑ Examiner's Amend	ate

Art Unit: 2635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Benoit Castel on June 17, 2005.

## Claims 1-3, 7-8, 11, and 15 in the application has been amended as follows:

1. A process for the automatic locking from afar of a vehicle (2) equipped with a hands-free access system in which the vehicle is equipped with short-range antennas (4, 6, 8) intended to send a signal to a tag furnished with a receiver for receiving the signals sent by the short-range antennas (4, 6, 8) of the vehicle and a transmitter of longer range than the short-range antennas (4, 6, 8) of the vehicle for transmitting signals in response to signals received from the vehicle which comprises;

instructing the locking of the vehicle when the doors of the vehicle to be locked are closed and when the vehicle receives from the tag a signal (18, 18') containing a cue indicating that the level of reception by the tag of the signals (10, 10', 22) transmitted by the vehicle (2) is low or zero, with at least one signal sent previously by the vehicle (2) having been received with normal level of reception.

- 2. The locking process as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the signals transmitted by the short-range antennas (4, 6, 8) of the vehicle for the implementation of this process are signals of low frequency of the order of 125 kHz.
- 3. The locking process as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the signals transmitted by the tag for the implementation of this process are signals of radio frequency of the order of 433 MHz.
- 7. The locking process as claimed in claim 5, characterized in that the tag transmits toward the vehicle a first signal (14) in response to a signal transmitted by the vehicle when the amplitude of the signal received is greater than predetermined threshold and that the tag transmits toward the vehicle a second signal (18) different than the first, in the converse case.
- 8. The locking process as claimed claim 7, characterized in that the second signal (18) is not sent when abrupt variation in the signal amplitude measured detected.
- 11. The locking process as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the tag periodically sends a first signal (14') in response to an interrogation by a signal (10') originating from the vehicle, and in that the tag continues to transmit when it no longer receives the vehicle's interrogations but then sends a second signal (18') indicating that

it has not received any signal originating from the vehicle since the last signal that it

Page 4

transmitted.

15. The locking process as claimed in claim 2, characterized in that the signals

transmitted by the tag for the implementation of this process are signals of radio

frequency of the order of 433 MHz.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In claim 1, the cited prior art do not teach "instructing the locking of the vehicle

when a) the doors of the vehicle be locked are closed and when b) the vehicle receives

from the tag a signal (18, 18') containing a cue indicating that the level of reception by

the tag of the signals (10, 10', 22) transmitted by the vehicle (2) is low or zero, with c) at

least one signal sent previously by the vehicle (2) having been received with normal

level of reception". Claims 2-16 are dependent claims and therefore allowable for the

same reason.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

USP 6,336,333 (King), USP 5,710,548 (LeMense), and USP 5,379,033 (Fujii et

al) are cited in that these patents teach of keyless entry systems. However, these

Art Unit: 2635

patents do not teach combining steps a), b), and c) above, in instructing the locking of a vehicle, as claimed in claim 1.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Bangachon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3065. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/4/10.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on (571) 272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2635

William Bangachon Examiner Art Unit 2635

June 20, 2005

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER